POAR Rubric (2021-22)

Program name BACHELOR OF MUSIC

Notes: The reconciled score of each criterion is listed at the end of the rubric along with the comments offered by the reviewers

1. The program's mission statement is clearly aligned with the overall mission of the institution.

Strongly Disagree (Not Addressed) Strongly Agree (Exemplary)
1 2 3 4 5

2. The program has developed a sufficient number of goals (objectives) that clearly address the breadth of the program requirements and expectations.

Strongly Disagree (Not Addressed)
Strongly Agree (Exemplary)
4
5

3. The program has developed a sufficient number of clear and measurable learning outcomes for each goal (objective).


Strongly Disagree (Not Addressed)
Strongly Agree (Exemplary)

4
5

4. The program has developed multiple measures of assessment for each learning outcome; measures may be direct/indirect and/or qualitative/quantitative.

Strongly Disagree (Not Addressed)

Strongly Agree (Exemplary)



Strongly Disagree (Not Addressed)
Strongly Agree (Exemplary)

4
5

10. The assessment process used by the program is feasible and does not overload or overburden faculty either collectively or individually.

Strongly Disagree (Not Addressed)
Strongly Agree (Exemplary)

4
5

CRITERION	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	TOTAL
SCORE	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	42

Summary Comments

It was made clear that COVID accounts from some of the lackluster assessment results. In some cases what is being listed as the Methods of Assessment is actually what is being judged and not the tool itself. While the Measure and Number of students Assessed section often included types of projects and/ or exams that were given to judge proficiencies sometimes it was merely stated as coursework...which can be anything. Being clear about the specific tools used to assess outcomes might make it easier to not only reflect but to make adjustments in the future if performances continue to loose traction.