POAR Rubric (2021-22)

Program name **FINANCE**

Notes: The reconciled score of each criterion is listed at the end of the rubric along with the comments offered by the reviewers

1. The program's mission statement is clearly aligned with the overall mission of the institution.

Strongly Disagree (Not Addressed)			Strongly Agree (Exemplary)		
1	2	3	4	5	

2. The program has developed a sufficient number of goals (objectives) that clearly address the breadth of the program requirements and expectations.

Strongly Disagree (Not Addressed)			Strongly Agree (Exemplary)		
1	2	3	4	5	

3. The program has developed a sufficient number of clear and measurable learning outcomes for each goal (objective).

Strongly Disagree (Not Addressed)			Strongly Agree	(Exemplary)
1	2	3	4	5

4. The program has developed multiple measures of assessment for each learning outcome; measures may be direct/indirect and/ or qualitative/quantitative.

Strongly Disagre	e (Not Addressed	3)	Strongly Agree (Exemplary)				
	2	3	4 5				
5. The program has developed benchmarks for each assessment technique that are both reasonable and challenging.							
Strongly Disagre	e (Not Addressed	3)	Strongly Agree (Exem	nplary)			
	2	3	4	5			
6. The program has collected meaningful data directly related to each learning outcome.							
Strongly Disagre	e (Not Addressed	3)	Strongly Agree (Exem	nplary)			
	2	3	4	5			

7. Assessment data have been explicitly used to identify challenges and/or successes resulting in program modifications or "staying the course", respectively.

Strongly Disagree (Not Addressed)			Strongly Agree	(Exemplary)
1	2	3	4	5

8. The assessment process covers the breadth of the student experience and clearly demonstrates the depth of understanding needed to successfully complete the program.

Strongly Disagree (Not Addressed)			Strongly Agree	(Exemplary)
1	2	3	4	5

9. The program's commitment to continuous improvement is clearly demonstrated by the information contained in the assessment plan, findings, and executive summary.

Strongly Disagree (Not Addressed)			Strongly Agree (Exemplary)		
1	2	3	4	5	

10. The assessment process used by the program is feasible and does not overload or overburden faculty either collectively or individually.

Strongly Disagree (Not Addressed)								Strong	gly Agr	ee (Ex	kemplary)
1	2		3			4			5		
CRITERION	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	TOTAL
SCORE	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	5	45

Summary Comments

Only one assessment covering 4 outcomes. One measure within courses assessed one objective; two objectives were not assessed for this year. The MFT may cover a breadth of the program, but without the other in-course assessments it is hard to see how students are doing mid program. Multiple measures are available but not all were used. Consider adding more outcomes per goal and multiple methods of assessment per outcome.