## POAR Rubric (2021-22)

Program name SOCIOLOGY

Notes: The reconciled score of each criterion is listed at the end of the rubric along with the comments offered by the reviewers

1. The program's mission statement is clearly aligned with the overall mission of the institution.

Strongly Disagree (Not Addressed)			Strongly Agree (Exemplary)		
1	2	3	4	5	

2. The program has developed a sufficient number of goals (objectives) that clearly address the breadth of the program requirements and expectations.

Strongly Disagree (Not Addressed)			Strongly Agree (Exemplary)		
1	2	3	4	5	

3. The program has developed a sufficient number of clear and measurable learning outcomes for each goal (objective).

Strongly Disagree (Not Addressed)			Strongly Agree (Exemplary)		
1	2	3	4	5	

4. The program has developed multiple measures of assessment for each learning outcome; measures may be direct/indirect and/ or qualitative/quantitative.

Strongly Disagre	e (Not Addressed	3)	Strongly Agree (Exem	nplary)
	2	3	4	5
	n has develope are both reaso		arks for each assess challenging.	sment
Strongly Disagre	e (Not Addressed	3)	Strongly Agree (Exem	nplary)
	2	3	4	5
6. The program each learning		meaningfu	Il data directly relate	ed to
Strongly Disagre	e (Not Addressed	3)	Strongly Agree (Exem	nplary)
	2	3	4	5

7. Assessment data have been explicitly used to identify challenges and/or successes resulting in program modifications or "staying the course", respectively.

Strongly Disagree (Not Addressed)			Strongly Agree	(Exemplary)
1	2	3	4	5

8. The assessment process covers the breadth of the student experience and clearly demonstrates the depth of understanding needed to successfully complete the program.

Strongly Disagree (Not Addressed)			Strongly Agree (Exemplary)		
1	2	3	4	5	

9. The program's commitment to continuous improvement is clearly demonstrated by the information contained in the assessment plan, findings, and executive summary.

Strongly Disagree (Not Addressed)			Strongly Agree (Exemplary)		
1	2	3	4	5	

10. The assessment process used by the program is feasible and does not overload or overburden faculty either collectively or individually.

Strongly Disagree (Not Addressed)								Strong	gly Agr	ee (Ex	kemplary)
1	2		3			4			5		
CRITERION	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	TOTAL
SCORE	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	49

## **Summary Comments**

Assessment is given early in the program (Pre/Posttest), and then at the end of the program (Major Field Test). Are there any assessments that can be used within the midpoint of the program to identify student progress before they get to the end?