

Math 3283 - Foundations of Mathematics

Exam 1 - 2019.02.11

Solutions

1. Construct a truth table for the sentence $((p \vee q) \rightarrow r) \leftrightarrow ((p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r))$.

p	q	r	$p \vee q$	(A) $(p \vee q) \rightarrow r$	$p \rightarrow r$	$q \rightarrow r$	(B) $(p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)$	$A \leftrightarrow B$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	F	F	F	F	T
T	F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	F	T	F	T
F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	F	T	F	F	T
F	F	T	F	T	T	T	T	T
F	F	F	F	T	T	T	T	T

2. Express the negation of the sentence $\forall x, y \exists z (P(x, y) \rightarrow Q(z)) \vee (P(x, y) \rightarrow R(z))$. Do not use \rightarrow in your final answer.

The quantifiers are easy to negate, and we have a disjunction, which negated becomes a conjunction. Then using the fact that $p \rightarrow q \Leftrightarrow \sim p \vee q$ and thus $\sim p \rightarrow q \Leftrightarrow p \wedge \sim q$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sim \forall x, y \exists z (P(x, y) \rightarrow Q(z)) \vee (P(x, y) \rightarrow R(z)) &\Leftrightarrow \exists x, y \forall z \sim (P(x, y) \rightarrow Q(z)) \wedge \sim (P(x, y) \rightarrow R(z)) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \exists x, y \forall z (P(x, y) \wedge \sim Q(z)) \wedge (P(x, y) \wedge \sim R(z)) \end{aligned}$$

3. Define $P(x, y)$ to be the predicate function “Person x is *as tall as, or taller than* person y ”. Determine whether each of the following quantified sentences is true or false. You may assume the domain of discourse is the set of people in this classroom.

(a) $\exists x, y P(x, y)$

Yes there are two people for which one is taller than another.

(b) $\exists x \forall y P(x, y)$

Yes, there is a tallest person (who is at least as tall as themselves).

(c) $\exists y \forall x P(x, y)$

Yes, there is a shortest person (who is as short as themselves).

(d) $\forall x, y P(x, y)$

No, this is not true, everyone is not the same height.

(e) $\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$

Yes, true since (b) is true.

(f) $\forall y \exists x P(x, y)$

Yes, true since (c) is true.

4. State the converse, inverse, and contrapositive to the sentence: If yesterday was Sunday or tomorrow is Tuesday, then today is Monday. You are not allowed to accomplish this by placing: 'it is not true' in front of either the hypothesis, the conclusion, or the entire sentence.

Converse: If today is Monday, then yesterday was Sunday or tomorrow is Tuesday.

Inverse: If yesterday was not Sunday and tomorrow is not Tuesday, then today is not Monday.

Contrapositive: If today is not Monday, then yesterday was not Sunday and tomorrow is not Tuesday.

5. For which universe of discourses is the following quantified sentence true: $\forall y \exists x (y = x^2)$. Your choices are \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{R}^+ , \mathbb{N} , and \mathbb{Q}^+ . You may assume both x and y belong to the same universe of discourse.

The sentence is not true for \mathbb{R} , since no x works for $y = -1 \in \mathbb{R}$.

The sentence is true for \mathbb{R}^+ , since for any fixed $y > 0$, we can simply let $x = \sqrt{y} > 0$, and thus $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

The sentence is false for \mathbb{N} , since if we set $y = 3$, no value of $x \in \mathbb{N}$ works (in fact the only x we know work are $x = \pm\sqrt{3}$).

The sentence is false for \mathbb{Q}^+ by the same argument as for \mathbb{N} .

6. State, in argument form, the following valid arguments. (a) Modus Ponens, (b) Modus Tollens, and (c) Hypothetical Syllogism.

Modus Ponens	Modus Tollens	Hyp. Syl.
p	$\sim q$	$p \rightarrow q$
$p \rightarrow q$	$p \rightarrow q$	$q \rightarrow r$
$\therefore q$	$\therefore \sim p$	$\therefore p \rightarrow r$

7. Determine, by any method you so choose, if the following argument is valid.

p
$(p \vee q) \rightarrow r$
$q \rightarrow \sim r$
$\therefore \sim q$

We know that Problem 1 gives the equivalency $((p \vee q) \rightarrow r) \Leftrightarrow ((p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r))$. So the second assumption gives us $(p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)$. And this in turn gives us $(p \rightarrow r)$, and also $(q \rightarrow r)$. Using Modus Ponens on p and $(p \rightarrow r)$ gives r . Using contraposition on $q \rightarrow \sim r$ gives $r \rightarrow \sim q$. Applying Modus Ponens to r and $r \rightarrow \sim q$ gives $\sim q$. Thus, the argument is valid!

If one were so inclined (which we are not here), we could also prove that the following is a tautological sentence:

$$(p \wedge ((p \vee q) \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow \sim r)) \rightarrow \sim q$$

8. Construct a sentence P of three variables p , q , and r whose truth table's final column is as given below:

p	q	r	P 's final column
T	T	T	T
T	T	F	F
T	F	T	T
T	F	F	T
F	T	T	F
F	T	F	T
F	F	T	T
F	F	F	T

Note that there are only two rows which result in a final truth value of F , otherwise the result is T . If we consider the sentence $\sim p \vee \sim q \vee r$, this will result in a sentence whose only false final column answer will be in row 2. Similarly, the sentence $p \vee \sim q \vee \sim r$ will have only one false in its final truth table column, and it will be row 5. Thus, the conjunction of these two sentences will satisfy the requirement. I.e. setting P to $(\sim p \vee \sim q \vee r) \wedge (p \vee \sim q \vee \sim r)$ will work.